Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	ter the Paperwook Reduction Act of 1995, no p	ersons are required to respond to a co	election of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.		
	TABLE TO THE STATE OF THE STATE	Application Number	10/752,620		
	TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	01/06/04		
FORM		First Named Inventor	Manish Upendran et al.		
		Art Unit	3627		
	(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Fischer, Andrew J.		
	Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5	Attorney Docket Number	100991.53378US (P001-5)		
_					

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
		smittal Form		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers			After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
	Amendme Af Af Extension Express A Information Certified C Documen Reply to I Incomple	ent/Reply fter Final ffidavits/declaration(s) n of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority	Ren	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revoca Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on	e Address	1. Che	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): ck No. 6881 for \$1,020.00			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT										
Firm Name CROWELL & MORING LLB, Customer Number: 23911										
Signature / makes										
Printed name Jonathan M. Lindsay										
Date		June 27, 2005	5		Reg. No.	45,810)			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with										
i heret	by certify th	at this correspondence is b	eing rad	csimile transmitted to the USI	rio or aepos	silea Wit	n the United States Postal Service With			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

June 27, 2005

sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

the date shown below:

Typed or printed name

Signature

Attorney Dkt: 100991.53378US (P001-5)



pplicant: Manish U

Manish Upendran et al.

Group Art Unit: 3627

Serial No.:

10/752,620

Examiner: Fischer, Andrew J.

Filed:

January 6, 2004

Confirmation No.: 5967

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PERFORMING PURCHASE

TRANSACTIONS UTILIZING A BROADCAST-BASED DEVICE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 22, 2005 regarding the above-identified U.S. patent application, Applicants hereby provisionally elect Claims 1-13 (Group I) for prosecution in the present application. For the reasons set forth hereinafter, however, Applicants respectfully submit that restriction as between Claims 1-13, 14-26 and Claims 27-39 in this application is not proper. Accordingly, the requirement for a restriction is traversed.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement is requested on grounds that it does not set forth the *prima facie* case of independent and/or distinctness sufficient to satisfy 35 USC § 121 or, for that matter, the PTO's own guidelines set forth in MPEP § 806.05. In particular, the general conclusions set forth in the Office Action